Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

United States District Court	
NORTHERN	District of <u>NEW YORK</u>
	ORDER AND AMENDED JUDGMENT
LINITED OF AMEDICA	REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
V.	
ANTHONY KEITH	Case Number: 1:01-cr-29 (DNH)
	USM Number: <u>10491-052</u>
Date of Previous Judgment: <u>January 16, 2002</u>	Alexander Bunin, Esq.
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Defendant's Attorney
reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a	Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and ssion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  ☐ DENIED ☐ GRANTED and the defendant the last judgment issued) of _ meaning the last judgment issued.	's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in onths <b>is reduced to <u>months</u></b> .
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RA	
Previous Offense Level: <u>24</u>	Amended Offense Level:
Criminal History Category: <u>IV</u>	Criminal History Category: _
Previous Guideline Range: <u>120</u> to <u>125</u> months	Amended Guideline Range: to months
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDE	
☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline ☐ The provious term of imprisonment imposed was loss to	
	than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of n, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS  Defendant's original sentence was a mandatory minimum	sentence, therefore he is not eligible for a reduction in sentence.
All provisions of the judgment dated <u>January 16, 2002</u> sh	all remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
April 25, 2008	
Order Date	$\Omega$ . $A$
Effective Date (if different from order date)	DM11